

REMARKS

This application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action dated April 1, 2005. Claims 1 to 18 are pending in this application. Claims 1 to 15 have been amended. Claims 1 and 12 are in independent form. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, the disclosure was objected to because of informalities. The abstract and specification have been amended to correct the informalities. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections are respectfully requested.

Claim 11 was objected to for a grammatical error. Claim 11 has been amended to address the objection. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection are respectfully requested.

Claims 1 to 18 were rejected under 25 U.S.C. § 102(b) over U.S. Patent No. 5,453,601 (Rosen). Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

With specific reference to the claims, Claim 1 concerns undoing a function requested by a first client station on a computer object stored on a server station of a communication network, the execution of the function being adapted to manipulate the object from an earlier state to a manipulated state. A request to undo the execution of the function is received, the earlier state of the manipulated object is obtained, and a response is sent to the first client station via the communication network, the response comprising a sum of money less than or equal to an execution cost associated with the function.

The applied art is not seen to disclose or to suggest the features of Claim 1, and in particular, the is not seen to disclose or suggest at least the feature of sending a

response to the first client station via the communication network, the response comprising a sum of money less than or equal to an execution cost associated with the function, execution of the function being adapted to manipulate the object from an earlier state to a manipulated state.

As understood by applicant, Rosen discloses a system an electronic monetary system for implementing electronic money payments. See Rosen; abstract. In Rosen, a loan account of a subscriber is associated with a line of credit, which may change due to adjustments in banking system 20. (Rosen, column 19, lines 47 to 53). When the subscriber's money module 4 communicates with the issuing bank 1 of the loan account, the subscriber's credit line information stored in money module 4 can be updated based on the adjustments. (Rosen, column 19, lines 49 to 53). To draw on the line of credit, subscriber uses credit notes 11, which represent an amount of currency to be transferred from subscriber's loan account into the bank account of the receiver of a credit note 11. (Rosen, column 19, lines 30 to 41). However, total credit notes 11, plus outstanding loans, cannot exceed the credit line. (Rosen, column 19, lines 53 to 55).

Rosen is not seen to disclose or suggest the feature of sending a response to the first client station via the communication network, the response comprising a sum of money less than or equal to an execution cost associated with the function, execution of the function being adapted to manipulate the object from an earlier state to a manipulated state. In particular, Rosen's updating of a line of credit in a money module is not seen to disclose or suggest a function whose execution is adapted to manipulate an object from an earlier state to a manipulated state, the function being associated with an execution cost, much less sending a response comprising a sum of money less than or equal to the execution

cost. On the contrary, Rosen is silent on how or why adjustments to the credit line are made, much less that the adjustments are associated with an execution cost.

Likewise, Rosen's credit notes 11 are not seen to disclose or suggest a function whose execution is adapted to manipulate an object from an earlier state to a manipulated state, the function being associated with an execution cost, much less sending a response comprising a sum of money less than or equal to the execution cost. Rather, Rosen's credit notes 11 are simply withdrawals from a loan account. Moreover, Rosen does not disclose or suggest any execution cost associated with credit notes 11.

Accordingly, Claim 1 is believed to be allowable over the applied reference.

Claim 12 is directed to a device for remotely undoing a function, and is substantially similar to the method of Claim 1. Accordingly, Claim 12 is believed to be allowable for at least the reasons cited above.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing amendments and arguments, independent Claims 1 and 12 as amended are believed to be allowable over the applied reference.

The other claims in the application are each dependent from the independent claims and are believed to be allowable over the applied reference for at least the same reasons. Because each dependent claim is deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however, the individual consideration of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

No other matters being raised, it is believed that the entire application is fully in condition for allowance, and such action is courteously solicited.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicants
Frank L. Cire
Registration No. 42,419

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-2200
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA_MAIN 98429v2